Case: 3:12-cv-01969-JJH Doc #: 3 Filed: 05/21/13 1 of 2. PageID #: 11

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

Wayne Allsup, Case No. 3:12-cv-01969

Plaintiff

v. ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Toledo Correctional Institution, et al.,

Defendants

BACKGROUND AND HISTORY

Pro se Plaintiff Wayne Allsup filed the above-captioned action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against the Toledo Correctional Institution ("ToCI") and the Ohio State Medical Board, alleging he was not receiving proper medical care at ToCI. Plaintiff's Complaint was very abbreviated and consisted entirely of exhibits. He did not include any allegations in the pleading itself.

One of the exhibits contained a request by Plaintiff to prison officials for treatment for a dislocated collarbone, spots on his lungs, and a spinal ailment. Plaintiff, however, also attached his medical records as exhibits which indicated that the prison medical staff performed x-rays on Plaintiff's TSP, LSP, right hip, and both clavicles, and those tests showed nothing abnormal. These medical records not only failed to provide support for Plaintiff's medical claims, but in fact suggested there was nothing medically wrong with him. Because there were no other factual allegations in the Complaint, and no suggestion of an actual diagnosis by a physician, there was no

Case: 3:12-cv-01969-JJH Doc #: 3 Filed: 05/21/13 2 of 2. PageID #: 12

basis or explanation for Plaintiff's belief that he had these ailments. The Complaint, as written, did

not suggest Plaintiff was denied medical care for a serious medical condition.

Nevertheless, I was concerned that Plaintiff potentially may have a viable claim which he was

not able to articulate due to his pro se status. I allowed Plaintiff sixty (60) days from the date of the

Memorandum of Opinion and Order to file an Amended Complaint that contained a legally

sufficient cause of action based on the incident described in the Original Complaint. I notified

Plaintiff that if he did not file a legally sufficient Amended Complaint within the time permitted, this

action would be dismissed. The Memorandum of Opinion and Order was filed February 7, 2013.

More than sixty days has passed and Plaintiff has not filed an Amended Complaint. This action is

therefore dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e) for the reasons set forth in my Memorandum of

Opinion and Order (Doc. No. 2). This case is closed.

So Ordered.

s/ Jeffrey J. Helmick

United States District Judge

2